Proffered Papers S427

measurements of tumour load, how to calculate a prognostic index from a few individual measurements.

Results: The proposed model shows characteristic non-linear patterns in tumour kinetics over time. It allows to quantify the individual tumour size kinetics based on baseline measurement and one or more time points of response evaluation. Specifically, the model allows predicting the nadir of tumour size reduction for individual patients. In contrast to a previous report by Piesseveaux et al. our model demonstrates statistically significant correlations of both, baseline and response parameters, with TTP and OS. Conclusions: It is possible to set the findings of Piesseveaux et al in a general formal framework which allows formulating more predictive rules for different clinical outcomes based on early tumour kinetics for first-line patients with mCRC. However, in times of sequential chemotherapies a more elaborate data acquisition is needed especially with regard to second-or even third-line treatment. Further validation of mCRC studies with regard to the proposed model is planned.

## 6116 POSTER

## Trends in Incidence, Treatment and Survival of Stage II T4 Colon Cancer Patients

B. Koebrugge<sup>1</sup>, L.N. van Steenbergen<sup>2</sup>, D.J. Lips<sup>3</sup>, V.E.P.P. Lemmens<sup>2</sup>, J.C. van der Linden<sup>4</sup>, J.F.M. Pruijt<sup>5</sup>, J.H.W. de Wilt<sup>6</sup>, G.J. Liefers<sup>1</sup>, C.J.H. van de Velde<sup>1</sup>, K. Bosscha<sup>3</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Leiden University Medical Center, Surgery, Leiden, The Netherlands; <sup>2</sup>Eindhoven Cancer Registry, IKZ, Eindhoven, The Netherlands; <sup>3</sup>Jeroen Bosch Hospital, Surgery, 's Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands; <sup>5</sup>Jeroen Bosch Hospital, Pathology, 's Hergtonbosch, The Netherlands; <sup>5</sup>University Medical Center Nijmegen, Surgery, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

**Background:** Stage II T4 colon cancer patients are considered at high risk for recurrent or metastatic disease. Therefore, adjuvant chemotherapy (CT) should be considered, according to the Dutch clinical practice guideline. **Methods:** All patients with stage II T4 colon cancer diagnosed in the Netherlands between 2000–2009 were included (n = 3065). Trends in the proportion of stage II patients with a T4 lesion over time was examined, as well as patient characteristics, adjuvant CT administration and number of examined lymph nodes. Furthermore, crude and multivariate survival analyses were performed.

**Results:** *Incidence*: The proportion of stage II colon cancer patients with a T4 lesion increased over time from 12% in 2000 to 14% in 2009 (p = 0.012), with large differences between geographic regions, ranging from 9% to 17% (p < 0.0001). T4 tumours were diagnosed more often in female than in male patients (p < 0.0001).

Treatment: Adjuvant CT was administered to 18% of T4 patients; 31% of those aged <75 years and 4% aged  $\geq$ 75 years. The proportion of T4 patients <75 years treated with adjuvant CT increased from 14% in 2000 to 42% in 2009, while for those aged  $\geq$ 75 years it increased from 1% to 10%. Besides, there was a large geographic variation in the proportion of T4 patients aged <75 years treated with adjuvant CT, ranging from 18% to 45% (p < 0.0001).

The proportion of T4 patients with ≥10LNs examined increased from 28% in 2000 to 76% in 2009 (p < 0.0001).

*Survival*: Crude 5-year survival of T4 patients <75 years receiving adjuvant chemotherapy was 71%, compared to 56% for T4 patients not receiving adjuvant chemotherapy (p < 0.0001), while for patients aged  $\ge$ 75 this was 38% vs. 33% respectively (p = 0.0124).

Multivariable survival analysis showed that administration of adjuvant CT and male gender were positive prognostic factors for survival in T4 patients, in contrast to older age (≥75 years) and <10LNs examined, with variation between geographic regions.

Conclusion: Adjuvant chemotherapy administration in colon cancer patients with a T4 lesion increased over time, but still only a minority of T4 patients received adjuvant chemotherapy. Adjuvant chemotherapy administration is an independent positive prognostic factor for survival in both age groups, which might be caused by selection of the fitter patients without comorbidity, which need to be further investigated. However, the effect of adjuvant chemotherapy remained after including comorbidity to the model in a subset of patients.

More attention should be given to the treatment of high risk stage II T4 patients.

6117 POSTER

Use of Adjuvant Chemotherapy in High-risk Stage II Colonic Cancer Patients in the Netherlands 2000–2009

B. Koebrugge<sup>1</sup>, L.N. van Steenbergen<sup>2</sup>, D.J. Lips<sup>3</sup>, V.E.P.P. Lemmens<sup>2</sup>, J.C. van der Linden<sup>4</sup>, J.F.M. Pruijt<sup>5</sup>, M.F. Ernst<sup>3</sup>, G.J. Liefers<sup>1</sup>, C.J.H. van de Velde<sup>1</sup>, K. Bosscha<sup>3</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Leiden University Medical Center, Surgery, Leiden, The Netherlands; <sup>2</sup>Eindhoven Cancer Registry, IKZ, Eindhoven, The Netherlands; <sup>3</sup>Jeroen Bosch Hospital, Surgery, 's Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands; <sup>4</sup>Jeroen Bosch Hospital, Pathology, 's Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands; <sup>5</sup>Jeroen Bosch Hospital, Oncology, 's Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands

Background: A subgroup of stage II colon cancer patients are considered at high-risk for recurrent disease based on tumour obstruction or perforation, T4 lesion, <10 lymph nodes (<10LNs) examined, lymphangionivasion or a poorly differentiated tumour. According to Dutch clinical guidelines these patients should be considered as comparable to stage III and therefore, adjuvant chemotherapy should be considered.

**Methods:** All patients diagnosed with primary colon cancer stage II from 2000 to 2009 in the Netherlands Cancer Registry were included (N = 23,124). The proportion of high-risk patients(based on T4 or <10LNs) receiving adjuvant chemotherapy(CT) was determined. Determinants of adjuvant CT administration and their impact on survival were determined. Variation between regions in adjuvant CT proportion was analyzed.

Results: In the period 2000–2009, 6% stage II colon cancer patients received adjuvant CT.

Patients aged ≥75 years received adjuvant CT very rarely, (11% vs. 1%; p<0.0001), while patients with a T4 lesion, <10LNs and patients diagnosed in a more recent period, received adjuvant CT more often. Furthermore, there was a large variation in adjuvant CT administration between geographic regions. Adjuvant CT administration increased in all (sub)groups of patients after introducing adjuvant CT for high-risk stage II colon cancer patients in the guideline in 2005.

Of the T4 patients (n=3,064) 31% of those aged <75 years received adjuvant CT. Crude 5-year survival for patients receiving adjuvant CT was 71%, while this was 55% for those not receiving adjuvant CT (p < 0.0001). Multivariate survival analysis for patients with a T4 lesion showed that age  $\!\!\!>\!\!\! 75$  years and <10LNs were negative prognostic factors, in contrast to adjuvant chemotherapy and male gender. Furthermore, survival differed by geographic region in patients with a pT4 lesion.

Of the patients with <10LNs (n = 10,264), just 12% aged <75 years received adjuvant CT.

Crude 5-year survival for patients with and without CT was 70% and 71% respectively (p = 0.19). Multivariate survival analysis for patients with <10LNs showed that age ≥75 years and T4 stage were significant negative prognostic factors of survival, in contrast to adjuvant CT and male gender. Conclusion: Just a minority of the high-risk stage II colonic cancer patients received adjuvant CT, with a large variation between geographic regions, despite the fact that adjuvant CT is generally known to improve survival in high-risk stage II patients.

118 POSTER

Randomized Phase II Study of S-1, Oral Leucovorin, and Oxaliplatin Combination Therapy (SOL) Versus MFOLFOX6 in Patients With Untreated Metastatic Colorectal Cancer (mCRC)

H. Ojima<sup>1</sup>, K. Yamazaki<sup>2</sup>, H. Kuwano<sup>3</sup>, T. Otsuji<sup>4</sup>, T. Kato<sup>5</sup>, K. Shimada<sup>6</sup>, T. Denda<sup>7</sup>, T. Esaki<sup>8</sup>, I. Hyodo<sup>9</sup>, N. Boku<sup>10</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Gunma Prefectural Cancer Center, Gastrointestinal Surgery, Gunma, Japan; <sup>2</sup>Shizuoka Cancer Center, Division of Gastrointestinal Oncology, Shizuoka, Japan; <sup>3</sup>Gunma University Hospita, Department of General Surgical Science, Gunma, Japan; <sup>4</sup>Dongo Hospital, Department of Internal Medicine, Nara, Japan; <sup>5</sup>Minoh City Hospital, Department of Surgery, Osaka, Japan; <sup>6</sup>Showa University Northern Yokohama Hospital, Department of Internal Medicine, Kanagawa, Japan; <sup>7</sup>Chiba Cancer Center, Division of Gastroenterology, Chiba, Japan; <sup>8</sup>National Kyusyu Cancer Center, Gastrointestinal and Medical Oncology Division, Fukuoka, Japan; <sup>9</sup>University of Tsukuba, Division of Gastroenterology, Tsukuba, Japan; <sup>10</sup>St. Marianna University School of Medicine, Department of Clinical Oncology, Kanagawa, Japan

**Background:** FOLFOX is a standard first-line regimen for mCRC. Monotherapy with S-1, an oral fluoropyrimidine, showed a response rate of 37% for mCRC, and its combination with oxaliplatin (L-OHP) or oral leucovorin (LV) demonstrated response rated of 50%, 57%, respectively, and all six patients at the recommended dose in the phase I trial of S-1 plus LV plus L-OHP (SOL) showed PR. We conducted a randomized phase II trial to evaluate efficacy and safety of SOL compared with mFOLFOX6 as first-line treatment of mCRC.

S428 Proffered Papers

Material and Methods: The inclusion criteria were; (1) histologically proved adenocarcinoma of colon or rectum, (2) age ≥20 years, (3) no prior treatment, (4) at least one target lesion by RECIST ver1.0 criteria, (5) ECOG Performance Status 0-1. Patients (Pts) were randomized to receive either S-1 (40-60 mg bid) and oral LV (25 mg bid) for one week and L-OHP (85 mg/m<sup>2</sup>) on day 1, repeated every 2 weeks (SOL; Group A) or L-OHP (85 mg/m<sup>2</sup>), I-LV (200 mg/m<sup>2</sup>), and 5-FU (400 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, bolus) on day 1, followed by 5-FU (2400 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, ci, 46 hours), repeated every 2 weeks (mFOLFOX6; Group B). The number of the enrolled pts was set to achieve the probability that a point estimate of hazard ratio (HR) of progression free survival (PFS; primary endpoint) less than 1.0 is 80% or more. This trial was supported by Taiho Pharmaceutical CO., LTD and Yakult Honsha CO., LTD. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00721916.

Results: From July 2008 to July 2009, 107 pts were randomized, and 105 were eligible (56 to Group A and 49 to Group B). A cut-off date for the primary analysis was 31 March 2010. The median PFS for Group A and Group B was 9.6 and 6.9 months (HR = 0.83; 95% CI, 0.49-1.40), indicating that the primary endpoint was met. Response rate was 55.4% for Group A (31/56; 95% CI, 41.5–68.7) compared to 53.1% for Group B (26/49; 95% CI, 38.3–67.5), and disease control rate (CR + PR + SD) was 92.9% for Group A (52/56; 95% CI, 82.7–98.0) compared to 85.7% for Group B (42/49; 95% CI, 72.8–94.1). Median OS have not been reached at this time, but 1-year survival rate was 86.0% for Group A and 79.0% for Group B. The incidences of grade 3/4 adverse drug reactions were; neutropenia (19.6% Group A, 41.2% Group B), lymphopenia (14.3% and 5.9%), sensory neuropathy (19.6% and 2.0%), anorexia (12.5% and 7.8%), fatigue (10.7% and 5.9%) and diarrhea (10.7% and 3.9%)

Conclusions: SOL shows promising activity with well-tolerated toxicities compared to mFOLFOX6.

High Level of Thymidine Phosphorylase Gene Expression in Tumour Tissues is Associated With Response to Oral Uracil and Tegafur/leucovorin Chemotherapy in Patients With Colorectal Cancer

S. Sadahiro<sup>1</sup>, T. Suzuki<sup>1</sup>, A. Tanaka<sup>1</sup>, K. Okada<sup>1</sup>, H. Okamura<sup>1</sup>, A. Kamijo<sup>1</sup>, H. Nagase<sup>2</sup>, J. Uchida<sup>2</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Tokai University, Gastrointestinal Surgery, Isehara, Japan; <sup>2</sup>Taiho Pharmaceutical Co.Ltd., Tokushima Research Center, Tokushima, Japan

Background: 5-Flurouracil (5-FU)/ leucovorin (LV) and oral uracil and tegafur (UFT)/LV are widely used as standard adjuvant chemotherapy for colorectal cancer (CRC). We previously reported that folylpolyglutamate synthase (FPGS) and g-glutamyl hydrolase (GGH) regulate the reduced folate levels in CRC tissue when oral LV was administered. In the present study, we examined the relationship between mRNA expressions of pyrimidine and folate metabolism-related enzymes in CRC tissues and the efficacy of UFT/LV treatment.

Material and Methods: Seventy-six well- or moderately-differentiated CRC patients without prior treatment who were scheduled to undergo surgery were enrolled. These 76 patients subsequently received oral treatment with UFT/LV for 2 weeks and underwent surgery 3 days after the final dose administration. We evaluated the tumour response on the resected specimens. We assessed pathological response based on the extent of residual cancer cells and granulation tissues, and graded on a scale from 0 to 4. A patient with scale 3 or 4 was defined as a "responder". The mRNA expressions of pyrimidine-related enzymes (6 genes) and reduced folate-related enzymes (8 genes) were quantitatively evaluated using a RT-PCR assay. These candidate genes were evaluated based on differences in the log-transformed mRNA expression levels between responders and non-responders. A multivariate logistic regression model with a stepwise regression was used to assess the independent effect on the response to oral UFT/LV treatment.

Results: Pathological responses were observed in 19.7% (15/76) of the patients. There was no significant difference in response rates between well and moderately differentiated histologic types. The median values of relative thymidine phosphrylase (TP) mRNA expressions were 0.0019 and 0.0012 for responders and non-responders, respectively. The expression level of TP mRNA was significantly higher in responders than in nonresponders (p = 0.011). There were no significant differences between pathological response and other gene expressions on univariate analysis. On multivariate logistic regression analysis including clinical parameters, TP remained independent predictor of the response.

Conclusion: The TP mRNA expression levels in primary CRC tissues may be useful for predicting the efficacy of oral UFT/LV treatment in patients with 6120 **POSTER** 

Personalized Dose Management for 5-fluoruracil Based Chemotherapy Regimens to Lower Severe Toxicity by Cancer

N. Chilingirova<sup>1</sup>, V. Marinova<sup>1</sup>, G. Kurteva<sup>1</sup>, D. Svinarov<sup>2</sup>. <sup>1</sup>National Oncology Center, Medical Oncology, Sofia, Bulgaria; <sup>2</sup>Medical University Sofia, UMHAT "Alexsandrovska", Sofia, Bulgaria

Background: Doses of chemotherapy drugs are administered based on body surface area (BSA), determined by height and weight of the patient. This standard does not reflect the difference in absorption and metabolism by each patient, which causes big blood drug level variations. We investigated the blood level of 5-fluoruracil (5-FU) in 3 chemotherapy regiments for treatment of colorectal cancer to determine the individual dose management with less side effects for each patient compared to standard dose treatment.

Material and Methods: A group of 56 patient with colorectal cancer were first divided into two subgroups according to the stage of the disease: 21 patients receiving adjuvant chemotherapy and 35 treated for metastatic disease. Three chemotherapy regiment were chosen: 5-FU (day 1 and day 5) + leucovorin (every 21 days), FOLFOX every 21 days and FOLFIRI every 21 days. 5-FU individual plasma concentrations were determined in four different ways - once on day 1 at the end of 2 hours infusion, twice at the  $\mathbf{20}^{th}$  and  $\mathbf{46}^{th}$  hour of pump infusion, measuring the concentration on the first, second and third day of the infusion and on the first, second, third and fourth day of the 5-FU infusion with a chromatography method. Another group of 50 patients receiving the same standard dose chemotherapy based only on BSA (without any dose adjustment) was used as a control group to follow up toxicity, intensity of treatment and period to progression. Results: The area under the time/concentration curve (AUC) of 5-FU (according to Gamelin FU dose adjustment table) for patients treated in adjuvant aspect: AUC 15-20 mgh/l resulted in recommendation for a 15% higher dose for 2 patients; AUC 20-24 mgh/l - 1 patient with no dose correction; AUC 30-35 mgh/l - 1 patient with a lower dose recommendation. Results for patients with metastatic disease: by AUC under 10 mgh/l - 13 patients recommended for a high dose, AUC 10-15 mgh/l - 4 patients for 15% higher dose and AUC 20-24 mgh/l - 5 patients with no dose changes. From the results without any recommendation of dose adjustment: by 7 patients plasma concentrations of 5-FU could not be measured, the other 30 results are either with too high or too low AUC values. The concentration by follow up of patients on first, second and third day was different. Conclusions: Personalized dose management based on testing of blood drug levels has the potential to lessen severe side effects of chemotherapy

drugs and to deliver the more accurate treatment to patients and a better quality of life.

6121 **POSTER** 

Phase II Trial of Combination Therapy With Bevacizumab and S-1 in Elderly Patients With Unresectable or Recurrent Colorectal Cancer (BASIC)

D. Takahari<sup>1</sup>, H. Takiuchi<sup>2</sup>, K. Muro<sup>1</sup>, A. Tsuji<sup>3</sup>, Y. Hamamoto<sup>4</sup>, T. Yoshino<sup>5</sup>, K. Yoshida<sup>6</sup>, K. Shirao<sup>7</sup>, Y. Miyata<sup>8</sup>, A. Ohtsu<sup>5</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Aichi Cancer Center Hospital, Clinical Oncology, Aichi, Japan; <sup>2</sup>Osaka Medical College Hospital, Cancer Chemotherapy Center, Osaka, Japan; <sup>3</sup>Kochi Health Sciences Hospital, Medical Oncology, Kochi, Japan; <sup>4</sup>Tochigi Cancer Center, Medical Oncology, Tochigi, Japan; <sup>5</sup>National Cancer Center Hospital East, Gastrointestinal Oncology/Gastroenterology, Chiba, Japan; <sup>6</sup>Gifu University Graduate School of Medicine, Surgical Oncology, Gifu, Japan; <sup>7</sup>Oita University Faculty of Medicine, Medical Oncology, Oita, Japan: 8 Saku Central Hospital, Gastroenterology, Nagano, Japan

Background: Chemotherapeutic regimens for elderly patients with advanced or recurrent colorectal cancer, such as combined treatment with 5-fluorouracil, leucovorin, and bevacizumab, often do not include oxaliplatin or irinotecan, because many patients are in poor physical condition. However, treatment with 5-fluorouracil and leucovorin requires the placement of a percutaneous port as well as other precautions, causing stress for patients as well as healthcare workers. From the viewpoint of ease of treatment, it is clinically important to confirm the therapeutic effectiveness of bevacizumab combined with S-1, an oral 5-fluorouracil derivative. In this study, we evaluated the efficacy and safety of combined therapy with S-1 and bevacizumab in elderly patients who had advanced or recurrent colorectal cancer.

Materials and Methods: The study group comprised elderly patients 65 years or older who had a histologically confirmed diagnosis of advanced or recurrent colorectal cancer and were scheduled to receive first-line chemotherapy. As for the treatment regimen, bevacizumab (5 mg/kg) was given intravenously on days 1, 15, and 29, and S-1 (80 to 120 mg/day